

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/080,163	02/19/2002	02/19/2002 Takeshi Ieshima		9294	
20350 7	590 03/09/2005		EXAMINER		
	AND TOWNSEND AN	CHARLES,	CHARLES, DEBRA F		
TWO EMBAR EIGHTH FLOO	CADERO CENTER OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SCO, CA 94111-3834		3624		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/00/200	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1					fc			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/080,16	3	IESHIMA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
•		Debra F. C		3624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIANCE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the property of the Market Property.	136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and wil tte, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day Lexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 191	February 200	<u>)2</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is n	on-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	n.	•					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		nsideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		)					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea	nts have bee nts have bee fority docume	n received. n received in Applicati ints have been receive	ion No	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certi	ied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/080,163

Art Unit: 3624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

### 1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the bodies of the claims do not recite technology, i.e. computer implementation or any other technology in a non-trivial manner. *In re Toma*, 197 USPQ 852 (CCPA 1978). *Ex parte Bowman* 61 USPQ2D 1669.

For a claim to be statutory under 35 USC 101 the following two conditions must be met:

1) The claimed invention must produce a "useful, concrete, tangible result" (In *re Alappat*, 31USPQ2d 1545, 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1994) and *State Street vs. Financial Signature Group Inc.*, 47 USPQ2d 1596' 1601-02 (Fed Cir. 1998));

#### AND

2) The claimed invention must utilize technology in a non-trivial manner (*Ex parte Bowman*, 61 USPQ2d 1665, 1671 (Bd. Pat. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001)).

As to the technology requirement, note MPEP 2106 IV B 2(b). Also note In *re Waldbaum*, 173USPQ 430 (CCPA 1972) which teaches "useful arts" is synonymous with "technological arts". In *Musgrave*, 167USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970), In re Johnston, 183USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974), and In *re Toma*, 197USPQ 852 (CCPA 1978), all teach a technological requirement.

In State Street, "in the technological arts" was never an issue. The invention in the body of the claim must recite technology. If the invention in the body of the claim is not tied to technological art, environment, or machine, the claim is not statutory. *Ex parte Bowman* 61USPQ2d 1665,1671 (BD. Pat. App. & Inter.2001)(Unpublished).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 10/080,163

Art Unit: 3624

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for credit settlement and for claim management, does not reasonably provide enablement for the actual mechanics of how these steps are performed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to recreate the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The claims must focus on the use of technology to implement the invention and the exact steps involved must be clearly stated in the claims.

Page 3

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and

Application/Control Number: 10/080,163

Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-4 and 5-10 look like two different inventions and are too broadly stated so that it is not clear which invention is relevant to this application. Claims need to tightly define the invention and the invention has to be very specific and narrowly focused. Claims that are too broad can not be patented.

6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the exact steps that are involved in pledging a mortgage which is normally more complicated than just a phone call to the financial institution. Consider that people can take out home equity loans to pay credit card bills without pledging their mortgage or home as collateral for the credit card bills. In this way, people can convert part of their mortgage into cash to effectively finance credit card purchases. The complex process of pledging a mortgage is not indicated here.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/080,163 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cuervo(5025138A).

Re claims 1-4: Cuervo discloses a credit settlement method in purchasing goods by utilizing a credit card by using collateral such as a life insurance policy to effectively secure a line of credit and guarantee that the credit card payments will be made(Abstract, col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 45). Cuervo does not explicitly disclose using a mortgage for collateral. However, since a life insurance policy to which the holder makes a series of payments over time does have a cash value like a mortgage, it is collateral in the same way a mortgage is and is usable for credit card debt guarantee. Thus, it would have been obvious to one with an ordinary level of skill in the art to employ using any financial instrument as collateral on a credit card.

Art Unit: 3624

9. Claims 5- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tarter et al.(5550734A).

Re claims 5-10: Tarter et al. disclose a claim management system in settlement utilizing a credit card that incorporates managing information relevant to credit card, mortgage-backed securities and settlement information along with purchases information.

Tarter et al. does not explicitly disclose using a mortgage for collateral via credit cards. However, since a mortgage-backed security is a form of collateral usable for guarantee of payment, the invention solves the same problem. Thus, it would have been obvious to one with an ordinary level of skill in the art to get the benefit of using any financial instrument as collateral on a credit card.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra F. Charles whose telephone number is (703) 305-4718. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A. Millin can be

Art Unit: 3624

reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Viveent Melli

Debra F. Charles
Examiner
ASSE tinU Trace Subernsorr Page 3600
AINCENT MILLIN
AINCENT MILLIN

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

\*\*\*